	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/803,263	OZLUTURK, FATIH M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean B Corrielus	2637	
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:	
(1) <u>Jean B Corrielus</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Jeffrey Glabicki</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 25 April 2005	Time:		
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant Applicant No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:	•		
Claims discussed:  1, 3, 5 and 7  Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEI See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a e record of the substance of	written summary of the subs	stance
	•.	•	
		•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)	)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's rep. agrees to amend the above claims as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct for some informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance. In addition, Applicant's rep. agrees to file a Terminal Disclaimer in order to avoid a Double Patenting rejection.